POTENTIALS AND PROBLEMS OF HARDWOOD TREE IMPROVEMENT

J. S. McKnight and F, T. Bonner¹ Southern Forest Experiment Station Forest Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture

In preparing a paper on hardwood tree improvement for this Sixth Southern Forest Tree Improvement Conference, we wanted to contribute something other than the obvious truths. Everyone knows that there are great potentials for improving growth rate, quality, figure, resistance to insects and idseases and to inundation, and other desirable characteristics. The geneticists here understand many of the problems in applying their science to an oak tree or a poplar tree, just as they understand the problems of breeding pine trees. What more, then, can be said about the potentials and problems of hardwood tree improvement-particularly by a pair of unimproved tree-improvers?

In searching the literature and in conversing with others, we ran into such questions as, Where would a researcher start when he considers tree improvement with southern hardwoods? Where could he find records on flowering and seeding characteristics, or cytogenetic data? Where could he get information on methods of propagating the species, or on past breeding work? And perhaps most important of all, what facts could he use to assign work priority to selected species?

In an attempt to answer this multitude of questions, we have systematized as much data as we could find for the 20 southern hardwood species listed in table 1.

These 20 are perhaps the most valuable southern hardwoods at present, as judged by such factors as quality and growth, adaptability to particular sites, and utility. As in most literature reviews, some work may have been inadvertently omitted. If you know of published or unpublished data that we have missed, we sincerely hope you will tell us of it.

The information in table 1 is mainly from dendrology texts and various botanical publications (3, 7, 8, 9, 18, 23, 24, 25, 45, 61, 63, *66, 69, 71,* 77), and from

¹ The authors are members of the Stoneville (Mississippi) Research Center, maintained by the Southern Forest Experiment Station in cooperation with the Mississippi Agricultural Experiment Station and the Southern Hardwaad Forest Research Group.

Table 1. -- Flowering and seeding characteristics of 20 important southern hardwoods

Species	Type of flowers	Time of flowering	Time of seed maturity	Av. germinative capacity of stratified seed	Occurrence of good seed years <u>1</u>
				Percent	
Black cherry, Prunus serotina Ehrh.	Perfect	MarJune	June-Oct.	63	Annually
Black walnut,	Monoecious	AprJune	SeptOct.	75	Irregular
Juglans nigra L. Black willow,	Dioecious	FebMay	May-June	85	Annually
Salix nigra Marsh. Cherrybark oak,	Monoecious	FebApr.	SeptOct. 2/	38	Frequently
Quercus falcata var. pagodaefolia Ell. Lastern cottonwood,	Dioecious	FebMay	AprJune	88	Annually
Populus deltoides Bartr. Freen ash,	n	MarMay	SeptOct.	45	Frequently
Fraxinus pennsylvanica Marsh. Juttall oak,	Monoecious	FebApr.	SeptOct. 2/	82	н
Quercus nuttallii Palmer Overcup oak,	u.	MarApr.	SeptOct.	84	u.
Quercus lyrata Walt. humard oak,	U.	FebApr.	SeptOct. 2/	80	u
Quercus shumardii Buckl. ilver maple,	Polygamo-	u U.	Mar Apr.	76	11
Acer saccharinum L. wamp chestnut oak,	dioecious Monoecious	MarMay	SeptOct.	87	Irregular
<u>Quercus michauxii</u> Nutt. weet pecan,	U.	u u	и и	50	Frequently
Carya illinoensis (Wangenh.) K. Koch weetgum,	11	FebMay	SeptNov.	80	Annually
Liquidambar styraciflua L. ycamore,	e.	MarMay	SeptOct.	50	Frequently
<u>Platanus occidentalis</u> L. Vater oak,		FebApr.	SeptOct. 2/	61	n
Quercus nigra L. Vater tupelo,	Polygamo-	MarApr.	SeptOct.	50	Annually
Nyssa aquatica L. Thite ash,	dioecious Dioecious	AprMay	AugOct.	38	Irregular
Fraxinus americana L. hite oak,	Monoecious	MarMay	SeptOct.	78	0
Quercus alba L. 'illow oak,	11	FebMay	AugOct. 2/	46	Frequently
Quercus phellos L. ellow-poplar, Liriodendron tulipifera L.	Perfect	MarJune	SeptNov.	5	Irregular

 $\frac{1}{2}$ / Frequently--every 1 to 2 years; irregular--every 3 to 5 years with no set cycle. $\frac{2}{2}$ / Maturing the year following pollination.

pamphlets on silvical characteristics (32, 33, 37, 40, 42, 51, 84, 85). Some material from files of the Stoneville Research Center is also included. It should be realized that the times given for flowering and seed maturity reflect latitudinal extremes. The occurrence of good seed years should also be taken with a grain of salt, as meteorological variations play a great part.

Notably absent from this table is a listing of minimum flowering ages, certainly a helpful tool to the plant breeded. The literature is largely silent on this subject, though it does report flowering of an 8-year-old black walnut (6), and a 7-year-old green ash (85). At Stoneville, W. M. Broadfoot has observed flowers on a 4-year-old cottonwood.

Several of the species are rather easy to propagate by vegetative means (tables 2, 3, and 4); some, notably sweetgum and the oaks, are difficult; and some, such as black cherry and water tupelo, just haven't been tried much. Horticulturists have worked a great deal with sweet pecan and black walnut, but almost always in the field of budding and grafting. At Stoneville we have recently initiated a long-range study in which we hope eventually to establish suitable methods for vegetative propagation of all southern hardwoods.

In table 5, on natural variation and tree improvement work, details of variation and hybridization are necessarily omitted, but literature references are noted. Many references on cottonwood and willow breeding are omitted, as this is a field in itself, and the material is easier to find than for other species. Considerable breeding work has been done with black walnut and sweet peon by horticulturists, whose results will yield a wealth of information to the interested researcher on these two species. The same thing is true for black cherry, for while we have very little information on this species (10, 26) there is much horticultural literature on the genus Prunus (83).

It is in flower morphology, pollination, and cytogenetic characteristics that the gap in our knowledge is largest. Such basic information must be on hand before results of any tree improvement work can be completely interpreted. There are too many blank spaces and too many probably's in table 6. Take, for instance, the natural variation of chromosome number. Jonathan Wright has found the northern geographic race of white ash to have a diploid chromosome number of 46, while the southern race may have a diploid number of 46, 92, or 138 (73). The entire genus of Salix is very variable in this respect (52). What other species or genera have similar variations? At the present time we do not know. But in view of the results that followed discovery of the famous triploid aspens (Populus tremula L.) in Sweden in the 1930s (52, 83), the possibility of similar conditions in any of our southern hardwoods is a tantalizing one for any tree improvement worker.

Table 2 Ease	of propagating	hardwoods by	cuttings
--------------	----------------	--------------	----------

Easy	Difficult	Unsuccessful	Unknown
Eastern cottonwood Black willow Silver maple Sycamore Green ash ² White ash ²	Yellow-poplar Nuttail oak Sweet pečan Sweetgum	Water tupelo Water oak	Black cherry Black walnut Other oaks

1. For further information, see: 16, 17, 35, 40, 43, 44, 62.

2. With cuttings from young tree seedlings. Difficult with cuttings from mature trees.

Table 3. -- Ease of propagating hardwoods by air-layering¹

Easy	Difficult	Unsuccessful	Unknown
Eastern cottonwood Black willow Silver maple Green ash White ash	Sweetgum Sycamore	Yellow–poplar Cherrybark oak	Black walnut Sweet pecan Black cherry Water tupelo Other oaks

1. For further information, see: 11, 21, 43, 62.

Table 4.--Ease of propagating hardwoods by budding and grafting

Possible	Probably possible ²	Unknown
Black walnut Sweet pecan Eastern cottonwood Green ash White ash Yellow-poplar Silver maple	Black willow All oaks	Sweetgum Sycamore Black cherry Water tupelo

1. For further information, see: 19, 50, 62, 64, 68, 85.

2. Success with closely related species indicates the possibility.

Table 5 Natura	l variation and tre	e improvement	work in some	important	southern hardwoods
----------------	---------------------	---------------	--------------	-----------	--------------------

Species	Known species variation	Natural inter- specific hybrids	Artificial hybridization	Other improve- ment work
Black cherry				Selection $\frac{1}{4}$, other (4, 36)
Black walnut	2-3 geog. races (<u>28, 78, 80</u>)		Some $\frac{2}{54}$, $\frac{58}{58}$, $\frac{88}{88}$	Seed source, selection $\frac{1}{1}$ (1, 12)
Black willow	Entire genus very variable (52)	1 known (<u>30</u>) .	Some $\frac{3}{1}$ in the genus (52)	
Cherrybark oak		l suspected		
Eastern cottonwood	2 geog. races, other variation $(\underline{46}, \underline{76})$		Very ex- tensive (<u>83</u>)	Selection $\frac{1}{2}$
Green ash	3 geog. races, other variation (41, 72, 78, 83, 84, 86)	Suspected with white $ash(\underline{83})$	Some inter - and intraspecific (27, 59a, 76)	Seed source studies (<u>41</u>)
Nuttall oak				
Overcup oak		3 known, 1 sus - pected (<u>30</u> , <u>39</u>)	NESS hybrids (<u>19</u>)	
Shumard oak	Some geog. vari- ation (22, 82)	6 known (<u>30</u>)		
Silver maple	Extensive, even locally $(\underline{74})$		Some interspe- cific (2, 20, 59a, 75, 79)	
Swamp chestnut oak		l known, l sus- pected (<u>30</u> , <u>39</u>)		
Sweet pecan		4 known (<u>7</u> , <u>30</u>)	Some <u>2</u> / intraspecific	
Sweetgum				Selection $\frac{1}{2}$
Sycamore		1 known (15, 23, 53, 67)		
Water oak	Some geog. variation (<u>82</u>)	5 known (<u>30</u>)		
Water tupelo				
White ash	3 geog. races, other variation (72, 73, 76, 78)	Suspected with green ash (83)	Some inter- and intra- specific (<u>59a</u>)	Selection $\frac{1}{4}$ (4)
White oak	Extensive, even locally (55, 83)	6 known (<u>30</u> , 59)	Some inter- specific (57)	Selection $\frac{1}{}$, other (13, 28)
Willow oak		8 known (<u>7</u> , <u>30</u>)		
Yellow-poplar	Local variation, geog. races proba- ble (28, 29, 31, 47, 60, 79)		Some intra- specific (<u>79</u>)	Seed source, se- lection 1/ (4, 5, 28, 31, 60)

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Implies selection of "plus trees" for any of several purposes. $\frac{2}{3}$ Nearly all for nut production. $\frac{3}{3}$ Mainly European work.

Table 6 Some pollination and cytogenetic characteristics of southern hardwoods

Species	Normal diploid chromosome number	Natural variation of chromosomes	Natural pollinating agent	Irregularities encountered in breeding
Black cherry	32			
Black walnut	32 (<u>52</u> , <u>62</u>)	In certain hybrids (<u>52</u>)		Parthenogenesis (87), dichogamy (52), metaxenia (54
Black willow		Common in the genus (52)	Insects (23, 18)	
Cherrybark oak	Probably 24 (<u>14</u>)		Wind (7, 48)	
Eastern cottonwood	34 (<u>62</u>)		Wind (<u>49</u>)	Androgynous flowers reported (<u>38</u>)
Green ash	(<u>62</u> , <u>81</u>)		Wind (<u>85</u>)	
Nuttall oak	Probably 24 (<u>14</u>)		Wind (7, 48)	
Overcup oak	Probably 24 (<u>14</u>)		Wind (7, <u>48</u>)	
Shumard oak	Probably 24 (<u>14</u>)		Wind (<u>7</u> , <u>48</u>)	
Silver maple	(<u>62</u> , <u>81</u>)		Insects (<u>75</u>)	Sometimes self-pollinated (48), unusual flower structure (79)
Swamp chestnut oak	24 (<u>52</u> , <u>62</u>)		Wind (7, <u>48</u>)	
Sweet pecan	Probably 32 (<u>62</u>)		Wind (70)	Parthenogenesis indicated, some trees self-sterile (70)
Sweetgum	30 (<u>62</u>)			
Sycamore	42 (<u>53</u> , <u>62</u>)			
Water oak	(<u>52</u> , <u>62</u>)		Wind (7, <u>48</u>)	
Water tupelo				
White ash	46northern race (73)	46,92, and 138 in southern race (73)	Wind (<u>84</u>)	
White oak	(<u>52</u> , <u>62</u>)		Wind (<u>7</u> , <u>48</u>)	Flowers only partly de- veloped when pollinated $(\underline{65})$, metaxenia $(\underline{57})$
Willow oak	Probably 24 (<u>14</u>)		Wind (7, 48)	
Yellow-poplar	38 (<u>62</u>)		Insects (79), mostly honey- bees (51)	Low self-compatibility (56), parthenocarpy exists (79)

In closing, we would like to point out a condition that we think needs alteration. A glance through the literature cited in this paper will show that more than 90 percent of the references are to work done in the northern United States or in Europe. Yet more than half of the Nation's hardwood timber is grown and cut in the South. There is no doubt about the potentials of growth and value in our southern hardwoods, but the problems for research and application are also present.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Anonymous.

1961. New Walnut program. South. Lumberman 202(2518):42.

2. Al'Benski, A. V.

1946. (Growth and winter-hardiness of interspecific hybrids of tree species) Nauchny Otchet VNIALMI za 1941-1942g. Sel'khozgiz, Moscow, pp. 172-184. (In Russian) (Reviewed in Forestry Abs. *9.764.* 1947).

3. Anderson, E., and Wheldon, C. M., Jr,

1936, Studies in the genus Fraxinus. II. Data on the flowering and fruiting habits of three American species of ash--of possible economic importance in the production of rapid-growing trees. Jour. Hered. 27 473-474.

4. Armstrong, M. M,

1959. A note on the tree improvement activities of the Armstrong Forest Company. Sixth Northeast. Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Proc. 1958: 35.

5. Bailey, L. F.

1948, Figured woody a study of methods of production. Jour. Forestry 46. 119-125, illus.

6. Brinkman, K, A.

1957. Silvical characteristics of black walnut. U. S. Forest Serv. Cent,. States Forest Expt. Sta. Misc. Release 22., 15 pp., illus.

7. Brown, C. A,

1945. Louisiana trees and shrubs. La. Forestry Comn. Bul. 1, 262 pp., illus.

8. Carpenter, I. W., and Guard, A. T:.

1950. Some effects of cross-pollination on seed production and hybrid vigor of tuliptree. Jour, Forestry 48: 852-855, illus.

9. Chisman, H. H.

1955. The natural hybrid oaks of Pennsylvania. Pa. Agr. Expt. Sta. Res. Paper 22, 4 pp.

- Darlington, C. D., and Wylie, A. P. 1955. Chromosome atlas of flowering plants. 519 pp. London.
- 11. Doran, W. L.

1957. Propagation of woody plants by cuttings. Mass. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 491, 99 pp.

 Dorman, K. W.
 1952. Directory of forest genetic activities in the South. U. S. Forest Serv. Southeast. Forest Expt. Sta. Sta. Paper 17, 17 pp.

13. Downs, A. A.

1949. Low forking in white oak sprouts may be hereditary. Jour. Forestry 47: 736.

14, Duffield, J. W.

1940. Chromosome counts in Quercus . Amer. Jour. Bot. 27:787-788.

15. and Snyder, E. B.

1958. Benefits from hybridizing American forest tree species. Jour. Forestry 56:809-815, illus.

16, Enright, L. J.

1958. Propagation of several species of Acer by cuttings. Jour. Forestry 56:426-428, illus.

17.

1959, Vegetative propagation of forest trees. Sixth Northeast. Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Proc. 1958: 3-7, illus.

- 18. Fernald, M. L. 1950. Gray's manual of botany. Ed. 8, 1,632 pp., illus. New York.
- Flory, W. S., Jr., and Brison, F. R.
 1942. Propagation of a rapid growing and semi-evergreen hybrid oak.
 Tex. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 612, 32 pp., illus.

- 20. Freeman, 0. M. 1941. A red maple, silver maple hybrid. Jour. Hered. 32: 11-14.
- Frohlich, H. J.
 1957. Die autovegetative Vermehrung durch Luftablegerverfahren. Silvae Genetica 6:143-147, illus.
- Gabriel, W. J.
 1958. Genetic differences in juvenile Shumard oak. U. S. Forest Serv. Northeast. Forest Expt. Sta. Res. Note 81, 3pp.
- Harlow, W. M., and Harrar, E. S. 1937. Textbook of dendrology. 527 pp., illus. New York.
- 24. Harrar, E. S., and Harrar, J. G. *1946.* Guide to southern trees. 712 pp., illus. New York.
- Isbell, C. L.
 1928. Growth studies of the pecan. Ala. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 226, 63 pp., illus.
- Klaehn, F. U., and Neu, R. L.
 1960. Hardwood pollen study. Silvae Genetica 9: 33-64, illus.
- 27. Lazarescu, C.

1956. (Contribution to the method of obtaining and breeding ashtree hybrids.) Rev. Biol. (Bucarest) 1: 117-126. (In Rumanian) (Reviewed in Forestry Abs. 18(1):123. 1957)

28. Limstrom, G. A.

1955. Current forest tree improvement research in the Central States. Lake States Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Proc. 1955: 37-41. Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Misc. Rpt. 40, 108 pp.

29.

1959. Yellow-poplar seed quality varies by seed trees, stands, and years. U. S. Forestry Serv. Cent. States Forest Expt. Sta. Sta. Note 134, 2 pp.

30. Little, E. L., Jr.

1953. Check list of native and naturalized trees of the United States (including Alaska). U. S. Dept. Agr. Agr. Handb. 41, 472 pp.

41. Meuli, L. J., and Shirley, H. L.

1937. The effect of seed origin on drought resistance of green ash in the Prairie-Plains states. Jour. Forestry 35: 1060-1062, illus.

42. Minckler, L. S.

1957. Silvical characteristics of white oak. U. S. Forest Serv. Cent. States Forest Expt. Sta. Misc. Release 21, 18 pp., illus.

43. Nelson, T. C.

1957. Rooting and air-layering some southern hardwoods. Fourth South. Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Proc. 1957: *51-54.*

- 44. and Martindale, D. L. 1957. Rooting American sycamore cuttings. Jour. Forestry 55:532.
- 45. Pauley, S. S. 1948. Sex and vigor in Populus . Sci. 108:302-303.

46.

1958. Photoperiodism in relation to tree improvement. The physiology of Forest Trees, ed. Thimann. Pp. 557-571, illus. New York.

- 47. Perry, G. S., and Coover, C. A.1933. Seed source and quality. Jour. Forestry 31: 19-25.
- 48. Piatnitsky, S. S.

1934. (Experiments on self-pollination of Larix, Acer, and Quercus.) Trudy Botan. Inst. Akad. Nauk. S.S.S.R. 4(1):297-318. (In Russian.) (U.S. Forest Serv. Div. Silvics Transl. 290, 22 pp.).

49. Piccarolo, G.

1952. (The poplar tree. Practical roles of cultivation). Agr. Pubs., Rome. (In Italian) (Transl. reprinted in U. S. Dept. Com . 1954. Study of newsprint expansion: Part II. Newsprint production from hardwoods. Second Prog. Rpt. to U. S. House of Represent. Judiciary Com., pp. 293-332)

50. Pitcher, J. A.

1960. Heteroplastic grafting in the genera Acer, Fraxinus, Picea, and Abies. Seventh Northeast. Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Proc. 1959: 52-57.

31 . Lotti, T.

1955. Yellow-poplar height growth affected by seed source. U. S. Dept. Agr. Tree Planters' Notes 22:3, illus.

32.

1957. Silvical characteristics of cherrybark oak. U. S. Forest Serv. Southeast. Forest Expt. Sta. Sta. Paper 88, 9 pp., illus.

33.

1960. Silvical characteristics of swamp chestnut oak. U. S. Forest Serv. Southeast. Forest Expt. Sta. Sta. Paper 110, 8 pp., illus.

34. McKay, J. W.

1959. Technig ues in breeding new varieties of orchard trees. Sixth Northeast. Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Proc. 1958: 21-25.

35. Maisenhelder, L. C.

1957. Propagation of some Delta hardwoods by rooting. Fourth South. Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Proc. 1957: 55-58.

36. Marquis, R. W.

1955. Report for the Northeastern Forest Experiment Station to the Second Lake States Forest Tree Improvement Conference. Lake States Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Proc. 1955: 23-28. Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Misc. Rpt. 40, 108 pp.

37. Martindale, D. L.

1958. Silvical characteristics of sweetgum. U. S. Forest Serv. Southeast. Forest Expt. Sta. Sta. Paper 90, 14 pp., ilus.

38. May, S.

1959. (A case of bisexuality in Populus deltoides.) Cellulosa e Carta 10(7): 6-8. (In Italian) (Reviewed in Forestry Abs. *21:259.* 1960.)

39. Melvin, L.

1956. A new hybrid oak from the Piedmont of North Carolina. Jour. Elisha Mitchell Sci. Soc. 72(2) :346-347.

40. Merz, R. W.

1958. Silvical characteristics of American sycamore. U. S. Forest Serv. Cent. States Forest Expt. Sta. Misc. Release 26, 20 pp., illus.

- Renshaw, J. F., and Doolittle, W. T. 1958. Silvical characteristics of yellow-poplar. U. S. Forest Serv. Southeast. Forest Expt. Sta. Sta. Paper 89, 18 pp., iIIus.
- 52. Richens, R. H. 1945. Forest tree breeding and genetics. Imp. Agr. Bur. Joint Pub. 8, 79 pp.
- 53. Sax, K. 1933. Species hybrids in Platanus and Campsis. Jour. Arnold Arboretum 14: 274-278.
- 54. Scepotjev, F. L.
 1950. (Metaxenia in Juglans nigra.) Priroda, Moskva 39(1 1):59-61. (In Russian) (Reviewed in Forestry Abs. 13(1):86. 1951).
- Scheffer, T. C., Englerth, G. H., and Duncan, C. G.
 1949. Decay resistance of seven native oaks. Jour. Agr. Res. 78: 129-152, illus.
- 56. Schreiner, E. J.

1953. Possibilities of inbreeding, selective intraspecific breeding, racial and species hybridization, and polyploidy. First Northeast. Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Proc. 1953: 64-68, illus.

57. and Duffield, J. W.

1942. Metaxenia in an oak species cross. Jour. Hered. 33:97-98, illus.

- 58. Schuster, C. E. 1937. Differences in heterosis of walnut hybrids. Jour. Hered. 28:216-217.
- 59. Silliman, F. E., and Leisner, R. S 1958. An analysis of a colony of hybrid oaks. Amer. Jour. Bot. 45:730-736.
- 59a) Sixth Northeastern Forest Tree Improvement Conference. 1959. Proceedings. 45 pp.

60. Sluder, E. R.

1960. Early results from a geographic seed source study of yellow-poplar. U. S. Forest Serv. Southeast. Forest Expt. Sta. Res. Note 150, 2pp., illus.

- 61. Small, J. K. 1933. Manual of the southeastern flora. 1,554 pp., illus. Chapel Hill, N.C.
- Spector, W. S. (Editor)
 1956. Handbook of biological data. 584 pp. Philadelphia, Pa.
- 63. Stuckey, H. P.

1916. The two groups of varieties of the Hicora pecan and their relation to self-sterility. Ga. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 124, 21 pp., illus.

- 64. Swedish Association for Breeding of Forest Trees.
 1942. Annual report, 1942. Svensk Papp Tidn. 45:300-307, 324-327, 382-383, 404-409, 519-522, 542-543. (In Swedish) (Reviewed in Forestry Abs. 5(2):92. 1943).
- Turkel, H. S., Rebuck, A. L., and Grove, A. R., Jr.
 1955. Floral morphology of white oak. Penn. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 593, 14 pp., illus.
- U. S. Forest Service.
 1948. Woody-plant seed manual. U. S. Dept. Agr. Misc. Pub. 654, 416 pp., illus.
- Walther, E.
 1935. Genetic constitution of host plant as a factor in pest control. Monthly Bul. Calif. Dept. Agr. 24:242-244.
- 68. Wiesehuegel, E. G., Zarger, T. G., and Hatmaker, J. F. 1957. Handling valuable nursery stock. Fourth South. Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Proc. 1957: 81-90.
- 69. Woodroof, J. G.
 1924. The development of pecan buds and the quantitative production of pollen.
 Ga. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 144:133-161, illus.
- and Woodroof, N. C.
 1930. Abnormalities in pecans. I. Abnormalities in pecan flowers. Jour.
 Hered. 21:39-44, illus.

71. Woodroof, N. C., and Bailey, J. E. 11 28. Unfruitfulness of the pecan. Go. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 148, 40 pp., illus.

72. Wright, J. W. 1944. Ecotypic differentiation in red ash. Jour. Forestry 42:591-597.

73.

1944. Genotypic variation in white ash. Jour. Forestry 42:489-495.

74.

1949. Local genetic variation in silver maple. Jour. Forestry 47: 300-302.

75.

1953. Breeding of hardwoods. Second South. Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Rpt. 153: 1-13. Univ. Fla. Tech. Rpt. 9.

76.

1953. Interspecific hybridization in spruce, maple, and ash at the Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. First Northeast. Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Proc. 1953: 77-81.

77.

1953. Notes on flowering and fruiting of northeastern trees. Northeast. Forest Expt. Sta. Sta. Paper 60, 38 pp., illus.

78.

1953. acial variation and individual tree selection in the Northeast. First Northeast. Forest Tree Impr. Conf. Proc. 1953: 20-25.

79.

1953. Summary of tree-breeding experiments by the Northeastern Forest Experiment Station 1947-1950. Northeast. Forest Expt. Sta. Sta. Paper 56, 47 pp.

80.

1954. Preliminary report on a study of races in black walnut. Jour. Forestry 52:673-675.

81.

1<u>9</u>57.New chromosome counts in Acerand Fraxinus.Morris Arboretum Bul. 8:33-34.

82.

E957. Studies to improve shade trees. Amer. Nurseryman 106(9):56, 57, 60-63, illus.

83.

1958. Possibilities of genetic improvement of the southern bottomland hardwoods. Seventh Ann, La. State Univ. Forestry Symposium Proc. 1958: 27-34.

84. Wright, J. W.

1959. Silvical characteristics of green ash. U. S. Forest Serv. Northeast. Forst Expt. Sta. Sta. Paper 126, 18 pp., illus.

85.

1959. Silvical characteristics of white ash. U. S. Forest Serv. Northeast. Forest Expt. Sta. Sta. Paper 123, 19 pp., illus.

86. Bingham, R. T:, and Dorman, K. W.

1958. Genetic variation within geographic ecotypes of forest trees and its role in tree improvement. Jour. Forestry 56: 803-808.

87. Zarubin, A. F.

1949. (On the possibility of parthenogenesis in Juglans regia and J. nigra.) Priroda, Moskva 38(10): *64-65.* (In Russian). (Reviewed in Faestry Abs. 12(1):105. 1950).

88. Zurayskaja, E. I.

1958. (A Juglans hybrid in Dublyany village (near Lvov).) Lesn. Hoz.. 11(9): 75-76. (In Russian)(Reviewed in Forestry Abs. 21(2): 1482 1960).