AFTERNOON SESSION

Chairman- C. Eugene Farnsworth

ESTIMATING SEED TREE NEEDS FOR EASTERN WHITE PINE SEED PRODUCTION AREAS

Harold W. Hocker, Jr¹

Previously a paper was presented to NEFTIC in which I reported the results of efforts to stimulate seed production of individual eastern white pines (Proceedings 9th NEFTIC: 35-40. 1962). In 1962 a partial cone crop materialized and cone col lections were made from the treatment trees. Analysis of the data did not indicate that there was any difference between treatments as to cone size, number of seeds per cone, or weight of seed per cone. Only the treatments as reported were effective in increasing cone numbers.

An analysis of the relationship between measurements of cone size and seed yield indicated a linear relationship between numbers of seeds per cone and cone length, Such a relationship is shown for cone collections from other parts of New Hampshire. This linear relationship permits easy extrapolation of seed yields since the average number of seeds/cone can be used to estimate total yield for any number of cones of varying size so long as average cone length and average seed yield of the average cone are estimated.

I used this approach to estimate the potential seed yields for trees in the stimulation study for the years in which we had cone crop failure, but for which we had an estimate of conelet production (tables 1, 2). The data contained in table 2 can be used then to estimate potential seed yield from a seed production area. If we can assume that 15 seed trees/acre will be used in the production area then a tabulation of yield is possible (table 3).

-Average n	umber oi	conelets	per tree.		
(Adapted	from tab	1e 7. N. H	I. Agr. Exp	t. Sta.	Bull . 107)
19	58	1959	19	60	1961
Unfert.	Fert.	Tota1*	Unfert.	Fert	Total*
		-	CHOIL DHEATING HILD HEATING		The Contract Day of Contract
24	48	58	116	183	32
40	85	115	222	273	55
58	136	210	338	607	84
75	-	351	413	80	114
	-Average n (Adapted <u>19</u> <u>Unfert.</u> 24 40 58 75	-Average number of (Adapted from tab) <u>1958</u> <u>Unfert.</u> Fert. 24 48 40 85 58 136 75 -	Average number of conclets (Adapted from table 7, N. H 1958 1959 Unfert. Fert. Tota1* 24 48 58 40 85 115 58 136 210 75 - 351	Average number of conclets per tree. (Adapted from table 7, N. H. Agr. Exp 1958 1959 Unfert. Fert. 24 48 58 40 85 115 28 136 210 338 75 - 351 413	Average number of conclets per tree. (Adapted from table 7, N. H. Agr. Expt. Sta. 1958 1959 Unfert. Fert. 24 48 58 116 183 40 85 115 222 273 58 136 210 338 607 75 - 351 413 -

Table 1 .-- Average number of conelets per tree.

* No significant difference between fertilized and unfertilized trees.

Tab1	e 2.==Est	imated p	ounds of	f seed per	tree.*	
	1958		1959	1960		1961
D.b.h.	Unfert.	Fert.	Tota1	Unfert.	Fert	Tota1
(inches)						
14	0.05	0.10	0.12	0.25	0.40	0.07
16	0.09	0.18	0.25	0.48	0.59	0.12
18	0.12	0.29	0.45	0.73	1.26	0.18
20	0.16	-	0.76	0.89	-	0.25

* Based on 0.0021 pounds of seed/cone.

¹University of New Hampshire, Department of Forestry, Durham, N.H.

Table 3	Seed product	ion from one	acre of	fertilized
	white pine -	15 seed tre	es/acre.	
	Pound	s of seed pe	r acre	
D.b.h.	1958	1959	1960	1961
(inches) 14	1.5	1.8	6.0	1.0
16	2.7	3.7	8.8	1.8
18	4.3	6.7	18.9	2.7

These yields do not, I am sure, indicate what would be the potential for seed production if the trees had been selected at an earlier age for fecundity, and had the trees been allowed to develop to their fullest, Nor does the data take in account the effects of annual applications of fertilizer and the possible effects of a chemical spray program.

I do feel that it gives us some idea of what might be expected when we go into a natural stand to establish a seed production area, There is the possibility that annual cone collections cannot be anticipated or if they are anticipated they will not be realized without management which includes application of fertilizer and a program to control seed and cone insects and diseases.