# Don't plant white pine near Walnut! 
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It has long been known that black
walnut (Juglans nigra $\quad$ L.) trees can
walnut (Juglans nigra $\quad$ L.) trees can $\begin{gathered}\text { 2Brooks. M. C. 1951. Effect of black walnut trees and their } \\ \text { products on other vegetation. Wve Agr. Expt. Sta. But. 347. } 31\end{gathered}$ adversely affect the growth of nearby plants of various species, and this problem has been studied extensively in West Virginia by Brooks.2 But, it is hard to quantitatively evaluate the phenomenon. The authors have tried to do so and quantified by the product of the square of their findings are described in this article. their crown diameter times total tree height,

Eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) comparable to the dbh $\wedge 2$-height variable seedlings ( $2-0$ stock) were planted on the used often in mensurational studies. West Virginia University Forest in 1962 Multiple regression and correlation in a degenerated black walnut plantation analyses were used to analyze the data. which was established on a badly eroded old field by the Civilian Conservation Corps in 1940. After 11 years, the smaller the pines. When walnut size was obvious differences in growth of the white not related to distance from the pines, no pine, averaging 12.5 feet in height, were significant relations were detected. apparent, although the stunted walnuts, Although not of practical value, a multiple averaging 6.5 feet in height, did not shade regression utilizing all the walnut variables the pines to any extent.

To evaluate the influence of the variation found in both height and size of walnuts on the growth of the pines, the pines.
distances between each of 362 white The conclusion to be drawn is obvious. pine stems and the nearest walnut stem- White pines should not be planted near averaging 8.7 feet-and between their black walnut trees. Perhaps, as roughly crowns were determined. Also, the total indicated in table 2. a guide might be to heights and crown diameters of the pines plant white pines no closer to walnut trees and walnuts were recorded.
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Relative sizes of pines and walnuts were Coser to the pines,
given in table 1 explains 84 percent of the
TABLE 1.-Correlation coefficients
$(r)$ between pine and walnut variables

| Walnut <br> variable | Pine height | Pine size |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| H/D $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $-0.19^{* *}$ | $-0.18^{* *}$ |
| R/D $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $-0.18^{* *}$ | $-0.17^{* *}$ |
| C $\mathbf{H} / \mathrm{D} \ldots \ldots$ | $-0.15^{* *}$ | $-0.13^{* *}$ |
| $\mathrm{~d} \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | -0.04 ns | -0.05 ns |
| $\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{H} \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | -0.05 ns | -0.07 ns |
| $\mathrm{H} \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | -0.01 ns | -0.05 ns |
| D $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0.01 ns | 0.01 ns |
| R $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | -0.01 ns | -0.05 ns |

Symbols used:

| $* *=$ | significance at the 1 percent level of |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | probability |
| $\mathrm{ns}=$ | not significant |
| $\mathrm{H}=$ | total height ( ft.$)$ |
| $\mathrm{D}=$ | distance between pine and walnut |
|  | stems ( ft.$)$ |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ | crown radius ( ft.$)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}=$ | crown diameter ( ft.$)$ |
| $\mathrm{d}=$ | distance between pine and walnut |
|  | crowns ( ft.$)$ | walnuts.

TABLE 2.-Height of white pine (feet) in relation to the height of and distance to the nearest walnut. ${ }^{1}$
'This article was published with the approval of the Director of the West Virginia University Agricultural Experiment Station as Scientific Paper 1310. The authors are professor and graduate assistant, respectively, Division of Forestry. West Virginia University. Morgan

| Distance to walnut (feet) | Height of walnut (feet) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 22 |
| 2.......... | . 13 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 5 |
| 6. | . 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| 10. | . 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 |
| 14. | . 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 |
|  |  | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |

${ }^{\prime}$ From the relation, pine height $=13.6965 \cdot(0.8265)(H / D)$, which, although significant at beyond the 1 percent level of probability, accounted for only 4 percent of the variation in heights of pines.

