OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Don't plant white pine near Walnut!

It has long been known that black

adversely affect the growth of nearby plants $\frac{1}{pp}$. of various species, and this problem has been studied extensively in West Virginia by Brooks.2 But, it is hard to quantitatively evaluate the phenomenon. The authors have tried to do so and quantified by the product of the square of

seedlings (2-0 stock) were planted on the used often in mensurational studies. West Virginia University Forest in 1962 Multiple regression and correlation in a degenerated black walnut plantation analyses were used to analyze the data. which was established on a badly eroded the pines to any extent.

walnuts on the growth of the pines, the pines. distances between each of 362 white pine stems and the nearest walnut stem- White pines should not be planted near averaging 8.7 feet-and between their black walnut trees. Perhaps, as roughly crowns were determined. Also, the total indicated in table 2. a guide might be to heights and crown diameters of the pines plant white pines no closer to walnut trees and walnuts were recorded.

'This article was published with the approval of the Director of the West Virginia University Agricultural Experiment Station as Scientific Paper 1310. The authors are professor and graduate assistant, respectively, Division of Forestry, West Virginia University. Morgan

town. W. Va. 26506

walnut (Juglans nigra L.) trees can ^{2Brooks}. M. C. 1951. Effect of black walnut and a products on other vegetation. WVU Agr. Expt. Sta. But. 347, 31

Relative sizes of pines and walnuts were their findings are described in this article. their crown diameter times total tree height, Eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) comparable to the dbh^2-height variable

As can be seen in tables 1 and 2, the old field by the Civilian Conservation larger the walnuts and the closer to the pines, Corps in 1940. After 11 years, the smaller the pines. When walnut size was obvious differences in growth of the white not related to distance from the pines, no pine, averaging 12.5 feet in height, were significant relations were detected. apparent, although the stunted walnuts, Although not of practical value, a multiple averaging 6.5 feet in height, did not shade regression utilizing all the walnut variables given in table 1 explains 84 percent of the To evaluate the influence of the variation found in both height and size of

> The conclusion to be drawn is obvious. then the anticipated total height of those walnuts.

ыHarry V. Wiant, Jr.,

and Miguel A. Ramirez

TABLE 1.-Correlation coefficients (r) between pine and walnut variables

Walnut variable	Pine height	Pine size	
H/D	-0.19**	-0.18**	
R/D	-0.18**	-0.17**	
C ² H/D	-0.15**	-0.13**	
d	-0.04 ns	-0.05 ns	
C ² H	-0.05 ns	-0.07 ns	
Н	-0.01 ns	-0.05 ns	
D	0.01 ns	0.01 ns	
R	-0.01 ns	-0.05 ns	

Symbols used:

significance at the 1 percent level of = probability

- not significant =
- total height (ft.) = distance between pine and walnut stems (ft.)
- crown radius (ft.)
- crown diameter (ft.) =
- = distance between pine and walnut crowns (ft.)

TABLE 2.-Height of white pine (feet) in relation to the height of and distance to the nearest walnut."

Η

D

	Height of walnut (feet)				
Distance -					
to walnut (feet) 2	6	10	14	18	22
2	11	10	8	6	5
6	13	12	12	11	11
10	13	13	13	12	12
14	13	13	13	13	12
18	13	13	13	13	13

¹From the relation, pine height = 13.6965 - (0.8265) (H/D), which, although significant at beyond the 1 percent level of probability, accounted for only 4 percent of the variation in heights of pines

30

