However, the risk of coating seeds with fungi is acceptable when measured against the benefits of obtaining more uniform and healthier seedlings at the end of the first growing season.

Literature Cited

1. Belcher, J. and L. W. Carbon. 1968. Seed-treatment fungicides for control of byconifer damping-off: Laboratory and David H. Dawson greenhouse tests, 1967, Can. Plant Dis, Surv. 48(2).

2. Carlson, L.W.

1970. La phytotoxicite variable des produits chimiques, un obstacle a leur selection pour le traitement des graines de coniferes. Rev. Bimestri. De Rech., Min. Peches et Forets, 26(6):47-48

3. Cayford, J.H. and R.M. Waldron 1967. Effects of Captan on the germination of white spruce, jack and red pine seed. For. Chron. 43(4):381-384.

4. Czabator .F. J.

1962. Germination value: an index combining speed and completeness of pine seed germination, Forest Sci. 8(4); 386-396. 5. Demeritt, M.E. Jr. and H.W. Hocker, Jr. 1970. Germination of eastern white pine after seed coat treatments. J. Forestry 68(11):716-717.

6. Dobbs. R.C. 1971. Effect on Thiram-Endrin formulations on the germination of jack pine and white spruce seed in the laboratory. Tree Planters, Notes 22(3):16-18.

7. Radvanyi. A. 1970. A new coating treatment for coniferous seeds. For. Chron. 46 (5): 406-408.

8. Shee, K. R

1959. Phytotoxicity of thiram to Douglas fir seed. Weyerhaeuser Timber Co., For, Res. Note No. 21, Tacoma, Wash.

9. Vaartaia. O.

1955. Effect of cellulose pelleting on the germination of seed. Canad. Dept. Agr., For.



Use Posticides Sa LLOW THE LAP ENT OF AGRICULTUR

chemicals against rodents and Rust resistance of **Populus clones compared** in Wisconsin study

Principal Plant Geneticist Institute of Forest Genetics North Central Forest Experiment Station Rhinelander, Wis.

Susceptibility to diseases is an imsystems is Melampsora leaf rust.'

Schreiner² pointed out that early and heavy Melampsora rust infestation Cuttings were obtained from various and most of them die in 3 to 5 years.

Clonal variation in Melampsora rust resistance has been reported.3 It has Biol. Div., BiMonth. Prog. Rep. 11 (2):2-3. common, studies and evaluations of developed by Schreiner (tables 1 and 2). In region where the Populus clones are to be grown4.

> 1- Arthur L. Shipper. Jr. and D.H. Dawson. Poplar leaf rust-problem in maximum wood fiber production. (Manuscript in preparation.)

²Ernst J. Schreiner. Rating; poplars for Melampsora leaf rust infection. USDA Forest Service, Northeast. For. Exp. Stn. Res. Note NE-90, 3p., illus, 1959.

3 C. M. Nagel, (Abstr.) Leaf rust resistance within certain species and hybrids of Populus. Phytopathology 39:p.16.1949.

As part of an initial selection portant factor when choosing members program for rapid growing, high yielding of a species for maximum yield or intensive trees for fiber production in the culture systems. One potentially northern Lake States area, 32 Populus important pathogen of poplars in such clones were evaluated for susceptibility to

%fed am psora.

markedly decreased the growth of sources and planted in closely spaced rows iu poplar clones and has been conducive an irrigated nursery at Rhinelander, ~'is. to Dothichiza attack. Moreover, highly By midsummer of 1972, the 2-year-old susceptible hybrids have been almost cuttings had shoots 5 to 12 feet tall, and completely defoliated by rust by mid August as early as mid-July, one clone was exhibiting marked susceptibility to east.

At four dates-August 17, September also been demonstrated that because 1, September 8, and September 25-the variation within Melampsora species is trees were evaluated, using the rating system rust resistance ritual he conducted in the this system, leaf diagrams are used to classify leaves into three infection classes-light, medium. or heavy-and the leaf ratings combined with an estimate of the percentage of the infected leaves on the tree to give a numerical index of infection Tinting and severity of infection are used as direct indicators of rust susceptibility.

> 4 Food and Agriculture Organization of the United States Poplars in forestry and land use FAO Forestry and Forest Products Studies No. 12. Rome, Italy. 511 p., illus. 1958.

16

TABLE 2Melampsora rust resistance ratings of 32 Populus clones at Rhinelander, Wis. (Arraned in general order of apparent
rust resistance based on sum of last three evaluations)

		Low Susceptibili	ty				
Parentage	Source number	Received from	Supplier's number(s)	8/17/72	Numerica 9/1/72	l rating (table 9/8/72	1) 9/25/7:
Populus alba L.	4877	Beltsville,1	PI 343437	0	0	0	0
	5051	Md.	NIE 10				
Populus cv. Charkowiensis x P. cv. Caudina	5271	Upper Darby, Pa.3	NE-19	0	0	0	0
Populus nigra L. x P. laurifolia Ledeb.	5272	Upper Darby, Pa.	NE-1	0	0	1	1
Populus alba L. x P. grandidentata Michx.	5339	Ames, Iowa"	NE 000	1	1	1	1
Torr. & Gray	5331	Upper Darby, Pa.*	NE-229	0	0	1	5
Populus ev. Betulifolia x P. trichocarpa	5332	Upper Darby, Pa.3	NE-98	0	0	1	5
Populus x euramericana (Dode) Guinier ex 1-214	4878	Beltsville,1	PI 343438	0	1	5	5
Populus x euromericana (Dode) Guinier	5377	Md	(1-214)				
Populus x euramericana (Dode) Guinier ex. Wisconsin #5	5377	Ames, Iowa ⁵		1	2	5	10
Populus deltoides Bartr.	5318	Maple, Ontario4	D-37	0	0	1	20
Populus x euromericana (Dode) Guinier	4879	Beltsville, ¹	PI 343439	1	1	10	10
cv. 1-476	ion,	Md.	(I-476)			10	10
		Medium Susceptib	ility				
Populus ev. Angulata x P. trichocarpa	5334	Upper Darby, Pa.3	NE-252	1	1	1	20
Populus cy, Angulata x P. cy, Plantierensis	5264	Upper Darby, Pa.3	NE-375	1	1	4	20
Populus ev. Angulata x P. trichocarpa Torr. & Gray	5265	Upper Darby, Pa.3	NE-379	1	1	4	20
Populus ev. Candicans x P. ev. Berolinensis	5263	Upper Darby, Pa.3	NE-386	1	1	3	25
Populus tristis Fisch. x P. balsamifera L.	5260	Indian Head, ²		1	5	10	15
cv. Tristis #1		Sask.					
Populus deltoides Bartr.	5319	Maple, Ontario ⁴	D-45	1	1	4	25
Populus x euramericans (Dode) Guinier	5321	Maple, Ontario ⁴	DN-31	1	1	10	20
ev. Nigrito de Granada	5321	Maple, Ontario ⁴	DN-31	1	1	10	20
Populus x euramericana (Dode) Guinier	5323	Maple, Ontario ⁴	DN-30	1	1	15	20
Populus x euramericana (Dode) Guinier cv. eugenii	5326	Maple, Ontario*	DN-34	0	1.	10	25
Populus ev. Angulata x P. trichocarpa Torr. & Gray	5266	Upper Darby, Pa. ³	NE-372	0	1	10	25
Populus deltoides Bartr. x P. cv. Caudina	5267	Upper Darby, Pa. ³	NE-366	1	10	10	20
		High Susceptibili	ty				
Populus x euramericana (Dode) Guinier ev. B-56	5324	Maple, Ontario ⁴	DN-26	1	2	20	20
Populus spp.	5258	Indian Head, Sask. ²		1	2	20	25
Populus x euramericana (Dode) Guinier ev. 1-78-B	5322	Maple, Ontario ⁴	I-78-B	1	2	20	25
Populus deltoides Bartr. x P. trichocarpa Torr. & Gray	5268	Upper Darby, Pa. ³	NE-216	1	1	4	50
Populus deltoides Bartr. x P. balsamifera L.	5320	Maple, Ontario4	Vac-6	1	20	20	20
Populus x euramericana (Dode) Guinier ev. Ostia	5325	Maple, Ontario ⁴	DN-28	1	2	20	50
Populus x euramericana (Dode) Guinier ev. I-45/51	5328	Maple, Ontario ⁴	I-45/51	1	1	20	75
Populus deltoides Bartr. x P. trichocarpa Torr. & Gray	5335	Upper Darby, Pa. ³	NE-348	1	10	20	75
Populus spp.	5351	Indian Head, Sask. ²		1	20	20	100
Populus deltoides Bartr.	5273	Indian Head, Sask. ²	44-52	2	50	100	100
Populus deltoides Bartr. x P. balsamifera L. Populus 'Northwest'	5261	Indian Head, Sask. ²		20	100	100	1006

¹USDA Plant Introduction Station, Beltsville, Md.
²Wm. Cram, P.F.R.A., Tree Nursery, Canada Dept. of Agriculture, Indian Head, Saskatchewan,
³Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, Upper Darby, Pa.
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Forests, Maple, Ont
⁴Research Branch, Ontario Div. of Lands and Porests, Mapl

17

TABLE 1.-Determining numerical rating of rust infestation

Estimate of leaf infection		Estimate of infected leaves on tree	Estimate of infected leaves on tree		
Descriptive rating	Numerical rating	Percent	Numerical rating	Numerical rating	
Light	1	Less than 25	1	1	
		25 to 50	2	2	
		50 to 75	3	3	
		More than 75	4	4	
		Less than 25	1	5	
Medium	5	25 to 50	2	10	
		50 to 75	3	15	
		More than 75	4	20	
		Less than 25	1	25	
Heavy	25	25 to 50	2	50	
		50 to 75	3	75	
		More than 75	4	100	

Discussion

Schreiner reported that clones with a rust rating above 10 on the first rating date. of 10 or higher at midseason for 4 years or 25

or higher at the end of the growing season for 2 variation within species or taxonomic groups than years should not be recommended for plantings between them. For example, Populus deltoids in the vicinity of the test area. one of the clones in clone number 5318 was almost completely free this collection showed susceptibility to rest at of rust until the last rating date. midseason, except number 5261, *Populus* Conversely. P. deltoides clone number 5273 Northwest.' Otherwise, the earliest that variation was severely infected by the second rating date. in rust susceptibility could lee scored was about Similarly. P. euramericana clones showed the middle of August. The relatively high great variability in rest susceptibility. However, resistance of all of the clones is not surprising both clones possessing P. alba heritage, inasmuch as they were obtained from Populus numbers 4877 and 5334, were virtually rust breeders who had selected them for a number of free. positive traits, including rust resistance, at their respective locations.

slowed by the third rating date, and in all eases growing clones in the group in terms of both had essentially stopped by the fourth rating date diameter and height growth. However, it is due to phenological or other factors not related to relatively susceptible to rust. the rust. Therefore, it seems the degree of infection on the first three rating dates is rust susceptibility should he conducted near the more significant as a selection criterion than the planting site. Also, rust evaluations on the most degree of infection on the fourth. However, 13 resistant and otherwise most desirable clones clones were rust-rated above 25 at the end of the should be carried on for several seasons before season, indicating the need for further, more widespread planting is attempted. precise testing before clonal material is planted extensively in the

area. Only one clone, Populus 'Northwest,' rated

The comparative ratings indicate greater

Degree of rust infestation way not always related to growth rate. For example, clone In most of the clones, growth had greatly number 5351 is obviously one of the fastest

If these clones are to be grown in other areas,

News & Reviews

(Continued from p. 13)

Not long after the U.S. entered the war, the Prairie States Forestry Project was ended. General responsibility for the trees was passed from the U.S. Forest Service to the 1.5. Soil Conservation Service, and farmers no longer received major federal help to plant trees.

Mann younger people in the shelter belt area now do not know why and when the trees were planted. Memories oft he drought and dust have faded.

There is less feeling; on the plains these days that trees are needed to stop wind erosion. Farmers now are much more liken to use stubble mulching,. strip cropping, crop residue management and other methods to help keep the soil in place.

Forestry experts now say that the 10to 15-rowwindbreaks were unnecessarily wide: windbreak plantings of one to three rows have been found effective and take much less land. John Muehlbeier lived through the

period 40 year ago on the plains when the sun was blackened by clouds of dust and grasshoppers and when the duststorms became too heavy for auto traffic, even in midday.

"Times were bad; we couldn't wait to know everything about what to do to stop these dust storms," Muchlbeier said, "and there's nothing like the shelter belt project that's ever been done in this country - 1,000 miles north to south. That's something." (from a report in The Washington Post. Oct. 21, 1973)

In search of the American Chestnut

Do you know of a mature, healthy American chestnut tree? If you do, you can help the Soil Conservation Service in its search for a blightresistant chestnut. Let your local conservation district or the nearest SCS office know about the trees, or write to the woodland conservationist at the SCS state office.

(Continued on p. 23)

18