

TOP PRUNING AND NEEDLE CLIPPING OF CONTAINER-GROWN
SOUTHERN PINE SEEDLINGS

James P. Barnett ^{1/}

Abstract. Early and severe clipping of longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill.) needles markedly slowed seedling development in containers and resulted in reduced growth in the field. A less severe clipping 3 weeks before outplanting improved seedling survival in a period of severe moisture stress. Some needle clipping of containerized longleaf may be justified to prevent matting of the needles, which can reduce seedling development. Top pruning of loblolly pine (*P. taeda* L.) and slash pine (*P. elliottii* Engelm. var. *elliottii*) seedlings did not affect field survival, but it did reduce height growth after outplanting. Top pruning of containerized slash and loblolly pines should be avoided by controlling height growth with other cultural regimes.

Additional keywords: *Pinus palustris*, *P. taeda*, *P. elliottii*, seedling development, field survival and growth

Top pruning of conifer seedlings is commonly used in bare-root nurseries to retard excessive top growth and keep the seedlings in better root-to-shoot ratios. Normally, tops are pruned while new growth is expanding rapidly and sufficient time is available for subsequent bud formation and normal development (Stoekler and Jones 1957).

Clipping needles of longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill.) seedlings after lifting has been recommended for planting on adverse sites (Allen 1955). However, Derr (1963) reported some growth retardation resulting from clipping longleaf, and Langdon (1955) found no advantage to clipping South Florida slash pine needles (*P. elliottii* var. *densa* Little and Dorman). Shoulders (1967) found that late summer clipping of longleaf needles in the nursery did not adversely affect field survival or growth.

The general intent of top pruning or needle clipping of conifer seedlings has been to reduce transpiration and thus improve seedling survival under adverse conditions. Results from a number of studies show no conclusive advantage to this technique. The loss of photosynthetic production, which is necessary for root development, may account for these results.

Top pruning of container-grown seedlings is not practical on a regular basis. However, there may be some instances where it may be needed to obtain a desirable root-to-shoot ratio. More likely is the need to clip the needles of longleaf pine. Even at a low density, needle development in containers can

^{1/} Principal Silviculturist, USDA--Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station, Pineville, LA 71360.

be great enough to cause shading problems in these very intolerant seedlings. Clipping could allow more uniform light exposure to all seedlings in a container. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of top pruning of container-grown loblolly pine (*P. taeda* L.) and slash pine (*P. elliottii* Engelm. var. *elliottii*) seedlings and needle clipping of longleaf pine seedlings on initial seedling development and field performance.

METHODS

Three sowing dates (late January, March, and May) were used for outplanting. Styroblock-4®^{2/} containers were filled with a 1:1 peat-vermiculite mix. Thirty-day stratified loblolly and unstratified slash and longleaf seeds were sown in sufficient numbers so that extras could be transplanted into cavities that had no germinating seeds. Seedlings received fertilization (20-19-18 NPK) at 150 ppm N each week beginning at about 3 weeks. Loblolly and slash pine seedlings were grown for 16 weeks and were top pruned to a height of 12.5 cm at 10, 12, and 14 weeks. Longleaf needles in one treatment were maintained at 5 cm, regardless of age. Another treatment consisted of clipping to 5 cm at 10 weeks of age and then reclipping to 10 cm. The third treatment consisted of one clipping at 13 weeks to 10 cm. Unclipped seedlings grown for 16 weeks were used as controls.

Outplanting dates for each species were May 27, July 20, and September 14, 1981. These provided for both seasonal and stress comparisons.

For each planting date, there were four replications of each species-treatment combination. Each plot consisted of 25 seedling rows. Planting was at 1.2-meter intervals in rows 1.2 meters apart.

For each replication, five seedlings were characterized at the time of planting by determining top height (except longleaf), root-collar diameter, and stem and shoot dry weight. Percentage of survival was measured about 2 months after planting. Survival and heights (caliper for longleaf) were also measured in the fall and then again in March 1983.

A completely randomized design was used with the significance level set at 0.05. Duncan's multiple-range test was used to evaluate differences in amount of treatment means.

RESULTS

The effects of pruning and clipping treatments were evaluated on seedling characteristics at time of planting (table 1) and on field performance (table 2). Treatment regimes were different among species; therefore, effects on initial seedling characteristics differed.

^{2/} The use of trade, firm, or corporation names in this paper is for the information and convenience of the reader. Such use does not constitute an official endorsement or approval by the U.S. Department of Agriculture of any product or service to the exclusion of others which may be suitable.

Initial seedling characteristics

Maintaining the length of longleaf pine needles at about 5 cm resulted in smaller stem diameters and top weights (table 1). Generally, whether the initial clipping was made at 10 or 13 weeks had no significant effect on seedling development. Root weights of seedlings with needles maintained at 5 cm were smaller than those of unclipped seedlings. In addition, root weights and diameters of seedlings grown for the July outplanting were generally smaller.

Stem heights and weights of loblolly seedlings decreased as pruning time was delayed from 10 to 14 weeks. This is logical because less time remained for the seedlings to recover from pruning. Loblolly diameters and root weights were more affected by seasonal effects than by pruning treatment (table 3).

Field performance

Planting date had the greatest effect on survival of longleaf pine seedlings (table 2). Needle clipping had little effect on survival when seedlings were planted in May or September; however, clipping affected survival in July when the seedlings were under considerable moisture stress. Two-month survival averaged 96 percent for the May planting, compared to 18 and 66 percent for the July and September plantings. In July, when seedlings were under greater stress, survival for the seedlings with needles clipped at 13 weeks was significantly greater than for those not clipped, those clipped early and maintained at 5 cm, or those clipped at 10 weeks and then kept at 10 cm length.

Root-collar diameters of longleaf were affected by planting date and clipping. Seedlings planted in September were smaller than those in earlier plantings (table 2), and seedlings with needles maintained at 5 cm were smaller after 1+ years in the field. This small size probably reflects the size of the seedlings when they were outplanted (table 1).

Survival of loblolly and slash pine seedlings was affected by planting date, but not by top pruning treatment. The July planting survival was significantly poorer than either the May or September plantings. In July, survival of seedlings clipped at 10 weeks to 12.5 cm was 14 percentage points higher than the control, but this difference was not statistically significant (tables 2 and 4).

Heights of both loblolly and slash pine seedlings were affected by planting date, pruning, and the interaction between the two factors (table 4). As with longleaf, loblolly and slash pine seedlings planted later in the year grew less (table 2). Control seedlings were taller in every instance, but the pruning treatment effects varied with planting date. Generally, there were greater differences in height due to pruning treatments in the May than in the September plantings.

Table 1.--Summary of initial seedling characteristics by species, planting date,^{1/} and clipping treatment

| Treatment | Height | | | Diameter | | | Top weight | | | Root weight | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------|-------|--------------|------|-------|--------------|------|-------|--------------|------|-------|
| | May | July | Sept. | May | July | Sept. | May | July | Sept. | May | July | Sept. |
| | -----mm----- | | | -----mm----- | | | -----mg----- | | | -----mg----- | | |
| Needle clipping | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Longleaf pine | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | - | - | - | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 961 | 1216 | 1122 | 162 | 147 | 165 |
| Constant 5 cm | - | - | - | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 439 | 410 | 329 | 116 | 108 | 143 |
| 5 cm @ 10 wk, then 10 cm | - | - | - | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 768 | 809 | 426 | 167 | 108 | 106 |
| 10 cm @ 13 wk | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 762 | 795 | 707 | 174 | 106 | 152 |
| Top pruning | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loblolly pine | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 233 | 225 | 229 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 918 | 1118 | 1031 | 202 | 155 | 206 |
| Clip at 10 wk | 171 | 178 | 178 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 766 | 795 | 675 | 214 | 187 | 208 |
| Clip at 12 wk | 145 | 146 | 133 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 628 | 516 | 667 | 178 | 138 | 233 |
| Clip at 14 wk | 130 | 134 | 135 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 490 | 490 | 518 | 202 | 148 | 190 |
| Slash pine | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 279 | 253 | 294 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1200 | 1124 | 1173 | 252 | 229 | 154 |
| Clip at 10 wk | 160 | 177 | 152 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 674 | 602 | 587 | 191 | 145 | 177 |
| Clip at 12 wk. | 139 | 138 | 130 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 636 | 562 | 571 | 202 | 234 | 202 |
| Clip at 14 wk | 132 | 130 | 130 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 598 | 367 | 406 | 172 | 164 | 201 |

^{1/}All planting was done in 1981.

Table 2.--Summary of field performance by species, planting date, ^{1/} and clipping treatment

| Treatment | 2-mo survival | | | 3/83 Survival | | | 3/83 Size | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------|-------|---------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
| | May | July | Sept. | May | July | Sept. | May | July | Sept. |
| | percent | | | percent | | | cm | | |
| Needle clipping | | | | | | | | | |
| Longleaf pine | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 95 | 15 | 70 | 75 | 12 | 66 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| Constant 5 cm | 93 | 10 | 66 | 87 | 4 | 54 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| 5 cm @ 10 wk, then 10 cm | 98 | 8 | 60 | 80 | 7 | 45 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 10 cm @ 13 wk | 96 | 37 | 67 | 75 | 29 | 59 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Top pruning | | | | | | | | | |
| Loblolly pine | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 100 | 57 | 82 | 91 | 56 | 80 | 71.6 | 57.9 | 45.4 |
| Clip at 10 wk | 97 | 71 | 91 | 86 | 67 | 90 | 61.4 | 53.9 | 42.1 |
| Clip at 12 wk | 99 | 66 | 83 | 89 | 62 | 82 | 64.9 | 55.8 | 39.9 |
| Clip at 14 wk | 100 | 55 | 96 | 88 | 52 | 92 | 64.3 | 43.9 | 39.3 |
| Slash pine | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 97 | 57 | 76 | 90 | 55 | 74 | 83.2 | 61.6 | 43.3 |
| Clip at 10 wk | 100 | 71 | 81 | 88 | 69 | 76 | 71.0 | 57.6 | 37.5 |
| Clip at 12 wk | 99 | 66 | 85 | 93 | 65 | 84 | 73.5 | 57.9 | 37.8 |
| Clip at 14 wk | 100 | 63 | 85 | 83 | 60 | 83 | 63.4 | 54.9 | 38.4 |

^{1/}All planting was done in 1981.

Table 3.--Summary of statistical analyses of the initial seedling characteristics as affected by clipping treatments and seasonal development^{1/}

| Source of variation | Longleaf | | | Loblolly | | | Slash | | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|----|----------|-------------|----|-------|-------------|----|
| | Dia | Root weight | Ht | Dia | Root weight | Ht | Dia | Root weight | Ht |
| Planting date (A) | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Clipping (B) | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| A x B | - | S | - | S | S | S | - | S | - |

^{1/} "S" indicates statistical significance at the 0.05 level.

Table 4.--Summary of statistical analyses of seedling field performance^{1/} as affected by clipping treatments and planting dates^{2/}

| Source of variation | Longleaf | | | Loblolly | | | Slash | | |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|-----|-----------|----------|----|-----------|----------|----|
| | 2-mo surv | '83 surv | Dia | 2-mo surv | '83 surv | Ht | 2-mo surv | '83 surv | Ht |
| Planting date (A) | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Clipping (B) | S | S | S | S | S | S | - | S | - |
| A x B | S | S | S | - | S | - | - | S | - |

^{1/} Field performance reflects percentage of survival and height for loblolly and slash pine and diameter for longleaf pine.

^{2/} "S" indicates statistical significance at the 0.05 level.

