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Introduction

- Fast wood plantation (FWP) have been expanded in Indonesia (ITTO, 2005)

- FWP would lead depletion of available nutrients in the soil and have severe impact on the productivity and sustainability of forest stands (B du toit et al., 2004; Corbeels M, 2003)

- The greatest impact from management occurs during operations associated with harvesting and planting (A,Tiarks et al., 2004)
Introduction

- In Indonesia, *Acacia mangium* (1st) had largest parts in plantation.
- Reducing the risk of root rot of *Acacia mangium*, there is increasing introduction of *Eucalyptus pellita* (3rd) as plantation trees (Kurinobe., et al 2011)
- For sustainable nutrient management in Indonesia, information of nutrient dynamics of these two species is important.

- N-fixing species
- Use for pulp
- 100 million ha in Indonesia
- Risk of root rot

- non N-fixing species
- Use for pulp
- In these days increasing
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Impact of harvesting

**Part II** - Yukiko Sawa

Synchronization of nutrient release from harvest residues and absorption by subsequent plants

Planting

Decomposition of harvest residues
Part I
Impact of harvesting

Introduction
1 Estimate the nutrient accumulation into biomass
2 Compare removed nutrient through harvest with nutrient within tree-soil system
Experimental Design

**Location**
Industrial plantation of *Acacia mangium* and *Eucalyptus pellita* located in South Sumatra, Indonesia.
4-6 years harvesting rotation.

- Annual precipitation: 2000-3000 mm
- Mean annual temperature: 27.3 °C
- No distinct dry and wet seasons
- Dryer season: From June to September
  Wetter season: From October to May

**Experimental term**
Sep 2011

**Site description**
- 4 years neighboring plantation of *Acacia mangium* (Acacia) and *Eucalyptus pellita* (Eucalypt).
- Before establishing them, both sites were same old *Acacia mangium* plantation.
- Initial soil condition are considered to be same between species.
Experimental Design

Accumulation into biomass
- Tree destructive sampling in both sites → Allometry in both sites were made.
- T-K, T-P, T-N, T-Ca, T-Mg accumulation into biomass were estimated from allometry and DBH.

Estimated nutrient removal
Nutrient within stem and stem bark which diameter < 6cm

Litter layer
Sampling with plastic ring (r = 21.5cm) in both sites (n=8).
T-P, K, N, Ca, Mg

Mineral Soil
Multi sampling down to 30cm every 5cm interval with soil cylinder in both sites (n=8).
Bray2-P, Ex-Ca, Ex-Mg, Ex-K, T-N
Total K and Ex-K removal

Accumulation into biomass
Acacia < Eucalypt
Especially branch and leaves had a higher accumulation in Eucalypt.

Removal from whole system
Acacia < Eucalypt
←Because of higher accumulation into log in Eucalypt
Difference in soil was because of difference in accumulation into biomass.
**Total P and Bray2-P removal**

### Total P and Bray2-P (kg/ha)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acacia</th>
<th>Eucalypt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total in whole system</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed through harvest</td>
<td>3.97 (14.1%)</td>
<td>3.93 (15.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Accumulation into biomass

**Acacia > Eucalypt**

Especially branch and leaves had a higher accumulation in Acacia.

#### Removal from whole system

**Acacia \(\approx\) Eucalypt**

Almost same amount of P were removed through harvest.
Total N

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acacia</th>
<th>Eucalypt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total in whole system</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>removed through harvest</td>
<td>0.29 (6.11%)</td>
<td>0.12 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Accumulation into biomass**

**Acacia > Eucalypt**

Because of N-fixing ability of Acacia

**Removal from whole system**

**Acacia > Eucalypt**

↔removal N in harvest were small compared to soil N.
Acacia increase net N in whole system by N-fixing.
Total Ca and Ex-Ca removal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Ca and Ex-Ca (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Acacia</th>
<th>Eucalypt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total in whole system</td>
<td>524.8</td>
<td>217.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>removed through harvest</td>
<td>58.8 (11.2%)</td>
<td>35.7 (16.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Accumulation into biomass**

Acacia > Eucalypt
Especially branch and leaves had a higher accumulation in Acacia.

**Removal from whole system**

Acacia > Eucalypt
- Eucaly kept quite lower amount in whole system.
- Leaching down to deeper soil?
- **This species specific effect more severe than harvest.**
Total Mg and Ex-Mg removal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Mg and Ex-Mg (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Acacia</th>
<th>Eucalypt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total in whole system</td>
<td>118.6</td>
<td>63.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>removed through harvest</td>
<td>8.7 (7.3%)</td>
<td>9.3 (14.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accumulation into biomass

\[ \text{Acacia} > \text{Eucalypt} \]
Especially branch and leaves had a higher accumulation in Acacia.

Removal from whole system

\[ \text{Acacia} > \text{Eucalypt} \]
- Eucaly kept quite lower amount in whole system.
- Same as Ca, this species specific effect more severe than harvest.
Summary

1 Nutrient removal through harvest.
   • 2~24.5 % nutrient are removed through harvest
   • Eucaly had potential to remove more K through harvest (24.5%).
     ←Higher accumulation of K into stem part in Eucaly.
   • In both plantation, impact of P removal are almost same.
   • N removal were not so big compared to soil stock (2~3%).

2 Loss of Ca and Mg in Eucalypt by other factor!!
   • Eucalypt had lower amount of Ca and Mg than Acacia in whole system.
    ←Leaching down to soil layer?
Part Ⅱ
Nutrient release from decomposing harvest residues and litter on the forest floor, and its absorption by seedling and understory vegetation

Photo: Acacia plantation just after planting seedling
Introduction of part 2

Harvest residues and litter on the forest floor contain large amounts of nutrients (part 1)

- Investigate nutrient release rate, pattern, and amounts
- Evaluate if nutrient release is synchronized with its absorption by subsequent plants (seedling and understory vegetation)

Experimental term

From September, 2011 to September, 2012

Experimental plot

- Plot size: 21m × 27m (Acacia), 21m × 33m (Eucalypt)
- Cut all trees within the plot and stems were taken out
- Biomass of harvest residues and litter on the forest floor were calculated from allometry equation and sampling data of Part1
Nutrient release - litterbag method -

Harvest residues
- Leaves
- Branch (0-1, 1-3, 3-cm)
- Bark
- Root in litter layer
- Root in the mineral soil (0-2, 2-5, 5-25, 25-50, 50-mm)

Litter from litter layer

Concentration of T-N, P, K, Ca and Mg in each component were analyzed. Amount of nutrient in each component was calculated same way as Part 1

3 month 6 month 9 month 12 month
(n=10) → Composited after weighing
Nutrient absorption

Sampling seedling

Allometry equation by destructive sampling of 2-6 trees in each term

Biomass increment (kg/ha)

Measure diameter of every seedling at 10cm above the ground in each term

Nov, 2011 1 month 4 month 8 month 10 month
Nutrient absorption

Sampling seedling

Allometry equation by destructive sampling of 2-6 trees in each term

Biomass increment (kg/ha)

Measure diameter of every seedling at 10cm above the ground in each term

Sampling understory vegetation

Concentration of T-N, P, K, Ca and Mg were analyzed.
Amount of was calculated same way as Part1

Acacia plot
Cutting and weighing within subplot (1m×1m, n=5)

Eucalypt plot
Cut over in the plot, and then sampling and weighing within subplot (2m×3m, n=8)
Nutrient release

Amounts of nutrients released from harvest residues and litter, and release rate (their proportion to the amounts of initial contents of nutrients) in one year

- Most of K was released in one year in both species
- Release of N proceeded only below 20% in both species
- Release rates of K, Ca, and Mg were higher in Eucalypt
  → Eucalypt has less function as a source of nutrients in long-term

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acacia Released amounts (kg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Release rate (%)</th>
<th>Eucalypt Released amounts (kg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Release rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>143.3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>147.8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>113.6</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rapid release of K in first 6 months

(173 and 101 kg ha\(^{-1}\) were released in first 6 months, which accounted 115 and 89 % of total released amounts in 1 year in Acacia and Eucalypt stand, respectively)

Release of P and Mg proceeded mostly in first 6 month (↔ Ca)
Nutrient absorption and release

Amounts of nutrients absorbed by seedling and understory vegetation in one year, and their proportion to the amounts of nutrients released from harvest residues and litter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acacia</th>
<th></th>
<th>Eucalypt</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absorbed amounts (kg ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>Absorption / Release (%)</td>
<td>Absorbed amounts (kg ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>Absorption / Release (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>119.2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More than 50% of released K and Ca in both species and Mg in Eucaly were not absorbed by subsequent plants.

→ If leaching occurred, substantial amounts of these nutrients might be lost from the tree - soil system, especially K.
## Nutrient absorption

Amounts of nutrients absorbed by seedling and understory vegetation in one year, and proportion of the amounts of nutrients absorbed by understory vegetation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acacia</th>
<th>Eucalypt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absorbed amounts (kg ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>Contribution of understory vegetation (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>119.2</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Understory vegetation is major contributor to stock nutrients

If weeding is conducted, most released nutrients might be lost, especially in Eucalypt stand

(← Nutrients absorption by seedling: Eucalypt > Acacia)
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**Harvest**
K removal through harvest Eucalypt > Acacia
Ca and Mg lost by other factor Eucalypt > Acacia

**Decomposition of harvest residues**
- Eucalypt has less function as a source of nutrients in long-term
- If leaching occurred, substantial amounts of nutrients might be lost from the tree - soil system, especially K

**Planting**
- Over 50 % of Ca and K were not absorbed in both species and Mg in Eucalypt stand
- Understory vegetation is important to stock nutrients
- If weeding is conducted, nutrients loss might be occurred especially in Eucalypt
Conclusion

Harvest
K removal through harvest Eucalypt > Acacia
Ca and Mg lost by other factor Eucalypt > Acacia

Decomposition of harvest residues
• Eucalypt has less function as a source of nutrients in long-term
• If leaching occurred, substantial amounts of nutrients might be lost from the tree - soil system.

Through harvest and planting,
More nutrients, especially cation like Ca, Mg and K might be lost from tree – soil system in Eucalypt, than Acacia stand

• If weeding is conducted, nutrients loss might be occurred especially in Eucalypt
Thank you for your attention
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