We are unable to supply this entire article because the publisher requires payment of a copyright fee. You may be able to obtain a copy from your local library, or from various commercial document delivery services.

From Forest Nursery Notes, Winter 2009

222. © Irrigation scheduling and irrigation systems: optimising irrigation efficiency for container ornamental shrubs. Grant, O. M., Davies, M. J., Longbottom, H., and Atkinson, C. J. Irrigation Science 27:139-153. 2009.

ORIGINAL PAPER

Irrigation scheduling and irrigation systems: optimising irrigation efficiency for container ornamental shrubs

Olga M. Grant · Michael J. Davies · Helen Longbottom · Christopher J. Atkinson

Received: 18 March 2008/Accepted: 22 July 2008/Published online: 12 August 2008 © Springer-Verlag 2008

Abstract Water use and plant growth and quality were compared across different nursery stock beds, different methods of applying irrigation, and different methods of scheduling irrigation. With overhead irrigation, scheduling of irrigation according to plant demand, along with an irrigation system designed to maximise irrigation uniformity, resulted in substantial water savings, without reducing plant quality. This was the case in both wet and dry years. In the dry year, plant quality was particularly good when grown on a sub-irrigated sand bed; this system also used less water than any of the overhead irrigation systems. Two different systems were effective in scheduling overhead irrigation, one based on the volumetric moisture in the growing substrate, and the other based on plant evapotranspiration. The latter was determined with a small sensor with wet and dry artificial "leaves", the output of which correlated with that obtained following the Penman-Monteith method based on a full set of meteorological data.

Introduction

Water scarcity and the increasing competition for water resources between agriculture and other sectors are forcing growers to consider more seriously the adoption of water

Communicated by E. Fereres.

O. M. Grant (☒) · M. J. Davies · H. Longbottom · C. J. Atkinson
East Malling Research, New Road, East Malling,
Kent ME19 6BJ, UK
e-mail: olga.grant@emr.ac.uk

tions have been imposed on the quantity of irrigation that may be used (2.3 m depth in 1992, reduced to 1.8 m depth where there is a strong competition with urban centres for drinking water), meaning that nurseries need to increase their irrigation efficiency in order to remain in their current profitable locations (Beeson and Brooks 2007). In the UK, awareness that water supplies may be more limited in future has been heightened by recent water shortages during the summer, particularly in the south-east of England. Nonetheless, 90% of smaller nurseries are dependent on mains water, few recycle water, and many use inefficient irrigation systems (Briercliffe et al. 2000). In addition to

et al. 1997).

To maximise growth, commercial nurseries generally strive to maintain plant available water to near 100% of container capacity (Beeson 2006). In large containers, this

the problems associated with depletion of water resources, losses of nutrients from hardy nursery stock systems can

represent a considerable eutrophication potential (Harris

saving strategies, especially in areas of intensive horticul-

tural production and limited water resources (Costa et al.

2007). Water requirements of food crops are relatively well

quantified in agronomic literature, but there has been little

quantification of the irrigation requirement in order to

maintain healthy growth and acceptable quality of orna-

mental plants (Henson et al. 2006). In several regions with

container production, water is either limited, restricted, or

both (Beeson 2006). Nursery production of woody land-

scape ornamentals has shifted in the past 40 years from in

ground to container production for small to medium sized

shrubs and trees. Whilst nurseries occupy relatively small

land areas compared to agronomic crops, their consump-

tion of water is quite high, ranging between 1.8 and 2.9 m

depth over the crop annually in the southeastern US, for

example (Beeson 2004). In areas such as Florida restric-

