We are unable to supply this entire article because the publisher requires payment of a copyright fee. You may be able to obtain a copy from your local library, or from various commercial document delivery services.

From Forest Nursery Notes, Summer 2009

191. © Soil quality impacts of residue removal for bioethanol production. Lal, R. Soil & Tillage Research 102:233-241.2009. 2009.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Soil & Tillage Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/still



Soil quality impacts of residue removal for bioethanol production*

R. Lal*

Carbon Management and Sequestration Center, The Ohio State University, 2021 Coffey Road, Kottman Hall 422B, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Soil restoration No-till farming Bioethanol Mulch farming Erosion control Energy needs Switch grass

ABSTRACT

Global energy demand of 424 El year ¹ in 2000 is increasing at the rate of 2.2% year ¹. There is a strong need to increase biofuel production because of the rising energy costs and the risks of global warming caused by fossil fuel combustion. Biofuels, being C-neutral and renewable energy sources, are an important alternative to fossil fuels. Therefore, identification of viable sources of biofuel feedstock is a high priority. Harvesting lignocellulosic crop residues, especially of cereal crops, is being considered by industry as one of the sources of biofuel feedstocks. Annual production of lignocellulosic residues of cereals is estimated at 367 million Mg year 1 (75% of the total) for the U.S., and 2800 million Mg year 1 (74.6% of the total) for the world. The energy value of the residue is 16×10^6 BTU Mg 1 . However, harvesting crop residues would have strong adverse impact on soil quality. Returning crop residues to soil as amendments is essential to: (a) recycling plant nutrients (20-60 kg of N, P, K, Ca per Mg of crop residues) amounting to 118 million Mg of N, P, K in residues produced annually in the world (83.5% of world's fertilizer consumption), (b) sequestering soil C at the rate of 100-1000 kg C ha 1 year 1 depending on soil type and climate with a total potential of 0.6-1.2 Pg C year 1 in world soils, (c) improving soil structure, water retention and transmission properties, (d) enhancing activity and species diversity of soil fauna, (e) improving water infiltration rate, (f) controlling water runoff and minimizing risks of erosion by water and wind, (g) conserving water in the root zone, and (h) sustaining agronomic productivity by decreasing losses and increasing use efficiency of inputs. Thus, harvesting crop residues as biofuel feedstock would jeopardize soil and water resources which are already under great stress. Biofuel feedstock must be produced through biofuel plantations established on specifically identified soils which do not compete with those dedicated to food crop production. Biofuel plantations, comprising of warm season grasses (e.g., switch grass), short rotation woody perennials (e.g., poplar) and herbaceous species (e.g., miscanthus) must be established on agriculturally surplus/marginal soils or degraded/ desertified soils. Plantations established on such soils would restore degraded ecosystems, enhance soil/ terrestrial C pool, improve water resources and produce biofuel feedstocks.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Crop yields in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) are among the lowest in the world (Sanchez, 2002). Low yields are attributed to subsistence agriculture based on extractive farming practices used by resource-poor small landholders in SSA, South Asia, South and Central America, the Caribbean and elsewhere in developing countries (Rosegrant and Cline, 2003; Bruinsma, 2003; Wild, 2003). Extractive farming practices are characterized by low external input of fertilizers and amendments and systematic

removal of crop residues for fodder, fuel and other competing purposes in conjunction with uncontrolled communal grazing which deprives the land of any biomass addition. Consequently, negative nutrient balance (Anon., 2006; Henao and Baanante, 2006; Haskins et al., 2006) depletes soil fertility, exhausts soil organic carbon (SOC) pool, and reduces soil and crop productivity. The attendant decline in soil quality is exacerbated by soil erosion, salinization, crusting and other degradative processes set in motion by severe depletion of plant nutrients and SOC reserves.

Soils of North America, Western Europe and other developed countries are generally of higher quality and less prone to nutrient depletion and other degradative processes than those of the developing countries. Harsh climate, and inherently poor soils due to intense weathering in the tropics are some of the factors responsible for low soil quality. On the other hand, high quality cropland soils of North America are also due to a widespread

Tel.: +1 614 292 9069; fax: +1 614 292 7432.

E-mail address: LAL.1@osu.edu.

Invited Keynote paper presented at the 17th Triennial Conference of ISTRO, 28 August to 3 September 2006, Kiel, Germany.