Evaluating an Irrigation System Upgrade©

John Messina

Sunraysia Nurseries, PO Box 45, Gol Gol NSW 2738

INTRODUCTION

As part of a major irrigation system upgrade, Sunraysia Nurseries conducted a test of system efficiency under the Nursery and Garden Industry of Australia (NGLA) "Waterworks" program.

The program consisted of training in assessing existing system output and efficiency, and recommendations for improvements. There were two major areas that were examined:

An existing shadehouse with overhead "B500" sprinklers on a 4.0 m x 4.5 m spacing.

An existing group of polyhouses with overhead "Eindor" sprinklers on a $2.0 \text{ m} \ge 3.2 \text{ m}$ spacing.

METHOD

Catch cans were placed in a grid across the growing areas. Irrigation was allowed to run and the amount of water in each can was measured and analyzed using the Waterwork calculator supplied as part of the training package.

International Plant Propagators Society, Combined Proceedings 2005, Volume 55.

RESULTS

The results of multiple tests showed consistent results. The major issue with these old designs was the poor distribution patterns. The sprinkler heads were not located at the edges of growing areas, so significant numbers of pots (mainly at the edges) were not getting as much water in a given irrigation time. This necessitated longer irrigation times and frequent hand watering. Combined with the older high output sprinkler heads, we were ending up with large amounts of runoff water to process. After evaluating these areas and recognizing the problems, recommendations were made on system alterations to improve water-use efficiency. Major alterations made were:

- Shadehouse Toro Waterbird sprinklers on a 4.67 m x4.5 in spacing
- Polyhouse Toro Waterbird sprinklers on a 5.4 m x 5.2 m spacing

There were also modifications to the pumping and control valves to minimize pressure variation within each section. The irrigation controller and pump were upgraded to incorporate a variable speed drive, which allows multiple sections to be irrigated simultaneously while maintaining pressure at optimum levels. New control valves incorporated adjustable pressure regulators to further ensure stable system output.

Table 1.	Results of	irrigation	system	improvements.
----------	------------	------------	--------	---------------

	Shadehouse		Polyhouse		
	Old irrigation design	New irrigation design	Old irrigation design	New irrigation design	
Mean application rate (mm/h)	14.5	10.7	21.1	9.7	
Coefficient of uniformity	79.7%	88.5%	71.0%	82.5%	
Scheduling coefficient	2.3	1.2	4.0	1.4	

So, after all this, just. how much water is being saved? It is clear that the design modifications have lowered the mean application rates, and improved distribution patterns. But what is the actual amount of water being saved?

A single shadehouse irrigation event on a standard irrigation section is typically 4 mm of water. On the old design, this would require 16 min of irrigation, plus an additional 20 min to fully irrigate the driest part of the irrigation pattern, a total of 36 min. On the new design, it would require 22 mm, but just 4 min to irrigate the driest part of the irrigation pattern. This is 10 min less pumping time on each section, or about 18,000,000 L total of water in a year.

Likewise in the polyhouses, to apply 4 mm of irrigation with the old design would take 45 min. With the improved coverage, it takes 34 min, 11 min less on every irrigation, which equates to about 250,000 L of water saved in a year.

OVERVIEW OF THE NEW SYSTEM

In the year following the expansion, the nursery had used 13% more water to ir-

rigate 85% more plants. The reduction in output is a saving in applied water costs, and also a reduction in the amount of run-off which needs to be dealt with. The new pump and controller allow more flexibility in planning irrigation. A pressure sensor and auto switch allow irrigation water to be used for hand hoses and tractor filling points, rather than more expensive "town water." Temperature sensors allow for automatic cooling in heat waves, and frost protection in winter.

We have learnt that making savings in water use requires an approach beyond just designing a new irrigation system. The lower output heads of the new system are more prone to wind drift, and on particularly windy days, the distribution pattern still lacks excellent coverage. As yet, hand watering of dry spots is still required, though on calm days is much reduced.

Overall, saving water is a complex process of planning and requires an integrated approach to design and the requirements of the crops being produced.